

Quantum fluctuations of D_{5d} polarons on C_{60} molecules

Chui-Lin Wang

China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory), Beijing 100080, China

Wen-Zheng Wang, Yu-Liang Liu and Zhao-Bin Su

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China

Lu Yu

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China

and International Center for Theoretical Physics, P. O. Box 586, Trieste 34100, Italy

The dynamic Jahn-Teller splitting of the six equivalent D_{5d} polarons due to quantum fluctuations is studied in the framework of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes formalism. The tunneling induced level splittings are determined to be ${}^2T_{1u} \oplus {}^2T_{2u}$ and ${}^1A_g \oplus {}^1H_g$ for C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} , respectively, which should give rise to observable effects in experiments.

71.38+i, 61.46.+w, 05.40.+j

The synthesis of buckminsterfullerene [1] has materialized the truncated icosahedral carbon molecule, possessing the highest point group symmetry, and exhibiting pronounced Jahn-Teller (JT) effects [2] due to the electron-phonon coupling inherent in the high symmetry. These effects determine many important physical and chemical properties of this third carbon isomer. Optical observations of phonon progressions and vibronic peak broadening in neutral C_{60} in solution, matrix and solid films, as well as in its anion and cation counterparts clearly show the effect of JT distorted C_{60} molecule [3,4]. On the other hand, the ESR lineshape also hints at the dynamic nature of these JT distortions [4,5].

In order to study the JT distorted structures of C_{60}^- and C_{60}^{2-} , various theoretical approaches [6,7] have been used and quantum chemical CNDO/MINDO type calculations [8] have been performed. Based on group-theoretical and topological analysis, Ceulemans *et al.* [9] have proposed that the symmetry of the stationary ground state of C_{60}^- and C_{60}^{2-} is determined by a weak 2nd-order coupling. Depending on the sign of the relevant parameter, the symmetry of this state may be D_{5d} or D_{3d} . These two nearly degenerate configurations were also found in the quantum chemical calculations [8].

Meanwhile, within the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdeG) formalism, well-known in the literature of conducting polymers [10], a simple SSH-like Hamiltonian is adopted to deal with the multi-mode JT problem of the molecule and the D_{5d} symmetry structures of C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} have been obtained [11,12]. For this static JT problem, the dynamic matrix of the different symmetry configurations has to be positive-definite to guarantee the dynamical stability. It has been found that the D_{3d} symmetry is not dynamically stable within the BdeG formalism [12]. The self-trapped excitons in neutral and charged C_{60} molecules have also been studied using this framework [13]. This self-consistent formalism is very efficient in describing the static JT distortions of this type of multi-electron, multi-mode systems. However, such a quasi-static approach is not sufficient. In fact, there are six equivalent D_{5d} polarons obtained by breaking the I_h symmetry. These six polarons states are degenerate in energy and are connected by quantum tunneling, which may give rise to splitting of the energy state and restoring the full I_h symmetry. In fact, the binding energy of the D_{5d} polaron is rather

small (of the order of 40 meV as seen from Ref. [12] and the present calculation), which is comparable with the zero point energy for some of the JT active h_g modes. Therefore, it is important to consider the dynamic JT effects due to quantum fluctuations. The genuine ground state should be an irreducible representation of the full I_h group and play a crucial role in related physical and chemical processes.

In this paper, we will first briefly review the earlier results of the BdeG formalism, and describe the static solutions of the JT problem for C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} anions. Then the first-order degenerate perturbation theory is adopted to determine the effect of the quantum fluctuations on the static configurations. The secular equations driving the tunneling splitting are solved analytically. We find that the quantum tunneling indeed leads to a further increase of the polaron binding energy by ~ 15 meV and the full I_h symmetry is recovered. Finally, the possible relations of our results with experiments and some neglected effects are discussed.

In Ref. [12], we simulated dynamic evolutions for a SSH-like system to find the stationary ground state configurations of C_{60} and C_{60}^{1-} molecules. We found that the singlet stationary configuration of C_{60}^{2-} also has D_{5d} symmetry. To study the effect of quantum fluctuations on the static JT distorted D_{5d} polaronic configuration, we write down the full Hamiltonian in the second quantized form as

$$H = H_e + H_{e-ph} + H_{ph} \quad , \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_e &= - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_s} t_0 (c_{i s}^\dagger c_{j s} + c_{j s}^\dagger c_{i s}) \quad , \\ H_{e-ph} &= \sum_{\mu} \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\mu}}} F^{\mu} (\hat{b}_{\mu} + \hat{b}_{\mu}^\dagger) \quad , \\ H_{ph} &= \sum_{\mu} \hbar\omega_{\mu} (\hat{b}_{\mu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F^{\mu} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, s} (\vec{\xi}_i^{\mu} - \vec{\xi}_j^{\mu}) \cdot \frac{\vec{l}_1(ij)}{l_1(ij)} (c_{i s}^\dagger c_{j s} + c_{j s}^\dagger c_{i s}) \quad . \quad (2)$$

Here α is the linear mode-independent vibronic coupling constant, $\vec{\xi}_i^{\mu}$ is the amplitude of the normal mode μ in the homogeneous ground state as evaluated in Ref. [12], $\vec{l}_1(ij)/l_1(ij)$ is

the unit vector along the unrenormalized bond $\langle i, j \rangle$. $\hat{b}_\mu(\hat{b}_\mu^\dagger)$ is the annihilation(creation) operator of the μ th normal phonon mode, defined as $\hat{b}_\mu|0\rangle = 0$, in which $|0\rangle$ is the unrenormalized phonon vacuum. Each of the six D_{5d} vacua breaks the I_h symmetry and can be defined by an origin-shifting operator $\hat{U}^\beta$ as $|\tilde{o}^\beta\rangle = \hat{U}^\beta|o\rangle$, acting on the undistorted vacuum. This origin shifting unitary operator is constructed to translate the unrenormalized phonon vacuum of lattice vibration to one of the six phonon vacua given by D_{5d} polaronic configurations $\{Q_\mu^\beta\}$, $\beta = 1, \dots, 6$,

$$\hat{U}^\beta = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_\mu \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\omega_\mu}{2}} (\hat{b}_\mu - \hat{b}_\mu^\dagger) Q_\mu^\beta\right\} . \quad (3)$$

Here Q_μ^β s are c-numbers determined self-consistently through the gap equation $Q_\mu^\beta = -\frac{\alpha}{M\omega_\mu^2} \langle e_\beta | F^\mu | e_\beta \rangle$, where the multi-electron wavefunction $|e_\beta\rangle$ is the solution of the corresponding BdeG equation in the same $\{Q_\mu^\beta\}$ configuration.

The shifted phonon operator of β th D_{5d} polaron has the form of $\hat{b}_\mu^\beta = \hat{U}^\beta \hat{b}_\mu \hat{U}^{\beta\dagger}$, and the corresponding phonon vacuum is defined as $\hat{b}_\mu^\beta |\tilde{o}_\beta\rangle = 0$, where

$$|\tilde{o}_\beta\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_\mu \sqrt{\frac{M\omega_\mu}{2\hbar}} \hat{b}_\mu^\dagger Q_\mu^\beta\right) |o\rangle \exp\left(-\sum_\mu \frac{M\omega_\mu}{4\hbar} (Q_\mu^\beta)^2\right) \quad (4)$$

which satisfies the normalization condition, $\langle \tilde{o}_\beta | \tilde{o}_\beta \rangle = 1$. $\hat{b}_\mu^{\beta\dagger}$ describes the phonon excitation on the shifted vacuum. In the above description, we have already made an approximation, assuming that the D_{5d} polaron and the undimerized state have the same phonon spectrum, which will not significantly affect our result.

The quantum tunneling between six minima is a tunneling process of the self-consistent lattice plus π -electron states. Taking the states at the six equivalent D_{5d} polaronic minima of the adiabatic potential as the zero-order degenerate states, the state vector of the β th polaron can be decomposed into two parts $|\Psi_\beta\rangle = |e_\beta\rangle \otimes |\tilde{o}_\beta\rangle$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, 6$. The tunneling state should be a combination of the six non-orthogonal D_{5d} polaron multi-electron states with different phonon vacua $|\Phi_i\rangle = \sum_{\beta=1}^6 C_{\beta i} |\Psi_\beta\rangle$, and can be solved by the degenerate perturbation theory. The secular equation is given by:

$$\| \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle - E \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | \Psi_\beta \rangle \| = 0 , \quad (5)$$

where

$$\langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_e | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_{e-\text{ph}} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_{\text{ph}} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle \quad , \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_e | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle &= -t_0 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_s} \langle e_{\beta'} | (c_{i s}^{\dagger} c_{j s} + c_{j s}^{\dagger} c_{i s}) | e_{\beta} \rangle \langle \tilde{o}_{\beta'} | \tilde{o}_{\beta} \rangle \quad , \\ \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_{e-\text{ph}} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle &= \alpha \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_s} \langle e_{\beta'} | F^{\mu} | e_{\beta} \rangle \frac{1}{2} (Q_{\mu}^{\beta'} + Q_{\mu}^{\beta}) \langle \tilde{o}_{\beta'} | \tilde{o}_{\beta} \rangle \quad , \\ \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H_{\text{ph}} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle &= \langle e_{\beta'} | e_{\beta} \rangle \sum_{\mu} \left(\frac{M\omega_{\mu}}{2} Q_{\mu}^{\beta'} Q_{\mu}^{\beta} + \frac{\hbar\omega_{\mu}}{2} \right) \langle \tilde{o}_{\beta'} | \tilde{o}_{\beta} \rangle \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\langle \tilde{o}_{\beta'} | \tilde{o}_{\beta} \rangle = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{\mu} \frac{M\omega_{\mu}}{4\hbar} (Q_{\mu}^{\beta'} - Q_{\mu}^{\beta})^2 \right\} \quad , \quad (7)$$

and the matrix elements of $\langle e_{\beta'} | (c_{i s}^{\dagger} c_{j s} + c_{j s}^{\dagger} c_{i s}) | e_{\beta} \rangle$ and $\langle e_{\beta'} | e_{\beta} \rangle$ are Slater determinants which can be calculated in accordance with the formulas listed in the Appendix of Ref. [14]. The overlap integral has the form of $\langle \Psi_{\beta'} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \langle e_{\beta'} | e_{\beta} \rangle \langle \tilde{o}_{\beta'} | \tilde{o}_{\beta} \rangle$. It is worth pointing out that $\langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{\beta} | H | \Psi_{\beta'} \rangle$.

To explicitly obtain the matrix elements, we number the axis pointing to the north pole as axis 1, while the remaining five axes spanning a pentagon-solid angle are numbered as 2 to 6, respectively. Due to symmetry consideration, we only need to calculate three kinds of matrix elements. When $\beta' = \beta$, the diagonal matrix elements $\langle \Psi_{\beta'} | H | \Psi_{\beta'} \rangle$ ($\beta = 1, 2, \dots, 6$) are all equal, being the potential energy of D_{5d} polarons. For the C_{60}^{1-} problem, the off-diagonal matrix elements only have two different values depending on the angle between the two axes. It is clear from the geometry that all the angles between two axes have a value $\sim 63.4^{\circ}$ except angles between axes 2 and 4, 2 and 5, 3 and 5, 3 and 6 and 4 and 6, which have a value $\sim 180^{\circ} - 63.4^{\circ}$. So it is only necessary to evaluate the elements $\langle \Psi_1 | H | \Psi_2 \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi_2 | H | \Psi_4 \rangle$. Moreover, since the HOMO state in D_{5d} polaron has an ungerade parity which is singly occupied, the D_{5d} polaron has an ungerade parity as well. Since the angles of these two pairs of axes are supplementary to each other, $\langle \Psi_2 | H | \Psi_4 \rangle = - \langle \Psi_1 | H | \Psi_2 \rangle$. The above analysis can also be applied to evaluate $\langle \Psi_{\beta'} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle$. The secular equation (5), now becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sigma & \tau & \tau & \tau & \tau & \tau \\ \tau & \sigma & \tau & -\tau & -\tau & \tau \\ \tau & \tau & \sigma & \tau & -\tau & -\tau \\ \tau & -\tau & \tau & \sigma & \tau & -\tau \\ \tau & -\tau & -\tau & \tau & \sigma & \tau \\ \tau & \tau & -\tau & -\tau & \tau & \sigma \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma = H_{11} - E$, $\tau = U - ES$, and $H_{11} = \langle \Psi_1 | H | \Psi_1 \rangle$, $U = \langle \Psi_1 | H | \Psi_2 \rangle$ and $S = \langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle$. Eq. (8) can be analytically reduced to

$$\left((H_{11} - E)^2 - 5(U - ES)^2 \right)^3 = 0 \quad (9)$$

It is easy to obtain two three-fold degenerate eigenvalues,

$$E_{\mp} = \frac{H_{11} \mp \sqrt{5}U}{1 \mp \sqrt{5}S}. \quad (10)$$

The six degenerate D_{5d} polaron states span a six-dimensional space carrying a reducible representation of the I_h group, and the tunneling between them will remove this degeneracy and reduce the six-dimensional space to two three-fold irreducible representations, $T_{2u} \oplus T_{1u}$, where the higher E_- is identified to be T_{2u} levels by calculating characters of the representation matrices, while the lower E_+ corresponds to T_{1u} levels. Moreover, the eigenvectors can also be determined analytically in terms of $C_{\beta i}$, which are listed in Table 1, where the six tunneling split states $|\Phi_i\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, are orthogonal to each other through the relations $\langle \Phi_i | \Phi_j \rangle = \sum_{\beta' \beta} C_{\beta' i}^* C_{\beta j} \langle \Psi_{\beta'} | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle$. From Eq. (10), we find the energy splitting, $E_- - E_+ = (SH_{11} - U)2\sqrt{5}/(1 - 5S^2)$. Analyzing this expression, we find that the factor $SH_{11} - U$ is actually equal to $-\langle \Psi_2 | H_{int} | \Psi_1 \rangle$, where $H_{int} = H - H_0$ and H_0 is the zeroth-order Hamiltonian which has $|\Psi_1\rangle$ or $|\Psi_2\rangle$ as its lowest eigenstates. $\langle \Psi_2 | H_{int} | \Psi_1 \rangle$ represents the hopping integral between the two states, which should be proportional to the energy splitting. Hence, we have

$$E_- - E_+ = -2 \langle \Psi_2 | H_{int} | \Psi_1 \rangle \frac{\sqrt{5}}{1 - 5S^2}, \quad (11)$$

where $-2 < \Psi_2|H_{int}|\Psi_1 >$ is the energy splitting for the two D_{5d} polaron problem, and $\sqrt{5}/(1-5S^2)$ is an enhancement factor due to the presence of 5 neighbours. For the parameter set of $t_0 = 1.91 \text{ eV}$, $\alpha = 5.0 \text{ eV/\AA}$, we got $H_{11} = -206.66327 \text{ eV}$, $U = -63.9725 \text{ eV}$, $S = 0.30949$, $< \Psi_2|H_{int}|\Psi_1 > = -0.01166 \text{ eV}$, $E_- = -206.579 \text{ eV}$, $E_+ = -206.679 \text{ eV}$, and an energy splitting $E_- - E_+ = 0.100 \text{ eV}$.

We have also studied the C_{60}^{2-} polaron problem. The difference between a C_{60}^{2-} D_{5d} polaron state and a C_{60}^{1-} D_{5d} polaron state is that the former has a gerade parity, where the singlet HOMO electronic state is doubly occupied, whereas the latter has an ungerade parity. Therefore $< \Psi_2|H|\Psi_4 > = < \Psi_1|H|\Psi_2 >$ for C_{60}^{2-} polaron. The six-dimensional reducible representation can be reduced to $A_g \oplus H_g$ as a result of tunneling splitting. The solutions of tunneling states and corresponding wavefunctions of C_{60}^{2-} are given in Table 2. For the parameter set of $t_0 = 1.91 \text{ eV}$, $\alpha = 5.0 \text{ eV/\AA}$, we got $H_{11} = -206.26237 \text{ eV}$, $U = -9.0269 \text{ eV}$, and $S = 0.043731$, which results in $E_- = -206.255 \text{ eV}$, $E_+ = -206.290 \text{ eV}$, and an energy splitting, $E_- - E_+ = 0.035 \text{ eV}$.

According to the above results of the dynamic problem, the ground vibronic states of C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} anions are ${}^2T_{1u}$ and 1A_g states, respectively, which are the same type of symmetries as the unrenormalized electronic states in the corresponding highest symmetric configurations of C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} . These results are consistent with the expected restoration of the initial I_h symmetry through the quantum tunneling. In principle, the vibronic reduction effect in these vibronic ground states is observable, especially in the ESR measurements. The estimate of Kato *et al.* [5] shows that the observed 0.003 reduction of g factor cannot be explained without the inclusion of JT effect. However, the combined effects of JT vibronic and spin-orbit couplings need further theoretical and experimental investigations. Furthermore, the mixing of the vibronic ground states ${}^2T_{1u}$ and 1A_g with the low-lying excited ${}^2T_{2u}$ and 1H_g states in the magnetic field should also be taken into account to determine the above observables. On the other hand, since the JT active modes h_g are not dipole-active, the IR transition between the vibronic states ${}^2T_{1u}$ and ${}^2T_{2u}$, 1A_g and 1H_g are parity forbidden. However, the tunneling splitting may be observable in the electronic resonant Raman scat-

tering measurements, if the degenerate low-lying excited $^2T_{2u}$ and 1H_g states are taken as the intermediate states.

Within our considerations, the pathways on the adiabatic potential surface from one D_{5d} minimum to another have not been determined explicitly due to the complications resulting from the multi-mode nature of the problem. Based on the work in the $T_{1u} \otimes (e_g + t_{2g})$ problem [15], Khlopin *et al.* [6] have shown that for the reduced linear one-mode $T_{1u} \otimes h_g$ problem, the potential surface possesses a $2D$ equipotential continuum of minima in the strong coupling limit, and indicated that the dynamic properties cannot be solved by neglecting the multimode nature via a simple scale transformation because of the dynamic interaction between the scaled coordinates. On the other hand, the locations and heights of the possible D_{3d} , D_{2h} and C_{2h} saddle points on the potential surface, corresponding to the lower ranking epikernels of I_h , are the key factors to set the possible pathways. However, unfortunately, the knowledge of the height of these saddle points is not sufficient to fully determine the pathways, because the quadratic vibronic coupling is absent in our present approach.

Another neglected factor in the above discussions is the effect of electron-electron correlations, which could affect both static and dynamic aspects of the problem through the competition with the vibronic coupling [16], especially, in the case of multiply-charged anions. However, the evidence of the important role of vibronic coupling in the dynamic process of the optical excitation experiments is clear enough to show that the lattice of C_{60} is dynamically distorted and the symmetry is well defined (not as D_{5d}) [3–5]. The dynamical JT effects of charged fullerenes have recently been discussed within a very different approach (Berry phase) [17]. The large tunneling splitting obtained in this calculation supports the assumption of spherical symmetry, adopted there.

In summary, the static linear $T_{1u} \otimes 8h_g$ JT effect is analyzed for the C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} anions based on the numerical results within the BdeG formalism. The dynamic problem has been solved analytically within the standard perturbation approach. The quantum tunneling among the six stationary equivalent D_{5d} polaronic minima results in the $^2T_{1u}$ and 1A_g

vibronic ground states, accompanied by the low-lying ${}^2T_{2u}$ and 1H_g vibronic states for C_{60}^{1-} and C_{60}^{2-} anions, respectively. Therefore, in experiments one should look for signatures of these states rather than the D_{5d} states obtained by neglecting the dynamical JT effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank W. M. You and E. Tosatti for helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. W. Kroto *et al.*, Nature (London), **318**, 162(1985); W. Krätschmer *et al.*, Nature (London), **347**, 354(1990).
- [2] H. A. Jahn and E. Teller, Proc. R. Soc. (London), Sec A **161**, 220(1937).
- [3] J. W. Arbogast *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem., **95**, 11(1991); C. Reber *et al.*, *ibid*, **95**, 2127(1991); Y. Wang, *ibid*, **96** 764(1992); A. Skumanich, Chem. Phys. Lett., **182**, 486(1991); Z. Gasyna *et al.*, *ibid*, **183**, 283(1991); J. P. Lane *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **68**, 887(1992); M. Matus *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2822(1992).
- [4] D. Dubois and K. M. Kadish, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **113**, 4346(1991); M. A. Greaney *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem., **95**, 7142(1991); Z. Gasyna *et al.*, *ibid*, **96**, 1525(1992); T. Kato *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett., **180**, 446, **186**, 35(1991).
- [5] T. Kato *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **205**, 405(1993).
- [6] V. P. Khlopin, V. Z. Polinger and I. B. Berzucker, Theor. Chim. Acta (Beil.) **48**, 87(1978).
- [7] M. Lannoo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B44**, 12106(1991).
- [8] N. Koga and K. Morokuma, Chem. Phys. Lett. **196**, 191(1992); K. Tanaka *et al.*, *ibid*, **193**, 101 (1992).
- [9] A. Ceulemans, D. Beyens and L. G. Vanquickenborne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **106**, 5824(1984); A. Ceulemans, J. Chem. Phys., **84**, 6442(1986); *ibid*, **87**, 5374(1987); A. Ceulemans and P. W. Fowler, Phys. Rev. **A 39**, 481(1989); A. Ceulemans and P. W. Fowler, J. Chem. Phys. **93**, 1221(1990); A. Ceulemans *et al.*, Structure and Bonding, **71**, 125 (1989)
- [10] L. Yu, "Solitons and Polarons in Conducting Polymers", (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988); "Conjugated Conducting Polymers", H. Kiess, ed., (Springer, Berlin, 1992).

- [11] K. Harigaya, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **B60**, 400(1991); Phys. Rev. **B45**, 13676(1992); B. Friedman, Phys. Rev. **B45**, 1454(1992).
- [12] W. M. You, C. L. Wang, F. C. Zhang and Z. B. Su, Phys. Rev. **B47**, 4765 (1993); W. M. You, C. L. Wang and Z. B. Su, preprint (1993).
- [13] W. Z. Wang, C. L. Wang, Z. B. Su and L. Yu, submitted to PRL.
- [14] C. L. Wang, W. Z. Wang, G. L. Gu, Z. B. Su and L. Yu, Phys. Rev. **B48**, 10788 (1993).
- [15] M. C. M. O'Brien, Phys. Rev. **187**, 407(1969).
- [16] S. Chakravarty, M. P. Gelfand and S. Kivelson, Science **254**, 970 (1991); B. Friedman and J. Kim, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 8638(1992).
- [17] A. Auerbach, N. Manini, and E. Tosatti; N. Manini, E. Tosatti, and A. Auerbach, submitted to PR B.

Table 1, Energies and Wave Function Coefficients $C_{\beta i}$ of Tunneling states in C_{60}^-

		Wave function Coefficients
Sym.	E	$N(C_{1i}, C_{2i}, C_{3i}, C_{4i}, C_{5i}, C_{6i})$
T_{2u}	$\frac{H_{11}-\sqrt{5}U}{1-\sqrt{5}S}$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (10(1-\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(\sqrt{5}, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1) \\ (40(1-\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(0, 4, -1-\sqrt{5}, -1+\sqrt{5}, -1+\sqrt{5}, -1-\sqrt{5}) \\ (4(5-\sqrt{5})(1-\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(0, 0, -1+\sqrt{5}, -2, 2, 1-\sqrt{5}) \end{array} \right.$
T_{1u}	$\frac{H_{11}+\sqrt{5}U}{1+\sqrt{5}S}$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (10(1+\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(\sqrt{5}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ (40(1+\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(0, 4, -1+\sqrt{5}, -1-\sqrt{5}, -1-\sqrt{5}, -1+\sqrt{5}) \\ (4(5+\sqrt{5})(1+\sqrt{5}S))^{-1/2}(0, 0, 1+\sqrt{5}, 2, -2, -1-\sqrt{5}) \end{array} \right.$

Table 2, Energies and Wave Function Coefficients C_β of Tunneling states in C_{60}^{2-}

		Wave function Coefficients
Sym.	E	$N(C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6)$
H_g	$\frac{H_{11}-U}{1-S}$	$(30(1-S))^{-1/2}(5, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$
		$(20(1-S))^{-1/2}(0, 4, -1, -1, -1, -1)$
		$(12(1-S))^{-1/2}(0, 0, 3, -1, -1, -1)$
		$(6(1-S))^{-1/2}(0, 0, 0, 2, -1, -1)$
		$(2(1-S))^{-1/2}(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1)$
A_g	$\frac{H_{11}+5U}{1+5S}$	$(6(1+5S))^{-1/2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$